



AYP Summary

SIDNEY GUTIERREZ MID

Improvement Status: Progressing

AYP Rating: AYP Met

	Total Number	%
Schools rated in district	1	100
Schools in Improvement	0	0
Schools in Corrective Action	0	0
Schools in Restructuring	0	0

Report Contents

- Student Demographics
- AYP Summary
- Accountability Indicators by Subgroup
- NAEP Assessment Summary for Grades 4 and 8
- State Assessment Summaries for Grades 3-8, and 11
- School Board Member Participation
- Budgeted Expenditures
- Teacher Quality
- Quality of Education Survey (Parent Survey)

Definitions and Abbreviations

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander

Afr Am: African American

ELL: English language learner; assessments include students who exited ELL status the first and second years

FRL: Free or Reduced Lunch; qualifies the student as economically disadvantaged

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education students who are gifted

High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for FRL (top 25%)

Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for FRL (bottom 25%)

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

Every school and district in the state must meet annual academic proficiency targets in mathematics and reading in order to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary & Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute states that "the Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D)

AYP determinations result from assessments that are administered at the end of the prior school year. Therefore this report summarizes AYP findings that apply to 2009-2010, but that were derived from the achievement of students in the 2008-2009 school year.

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency
- c) Reach targets for attendance in elementary and middle schools and graduation for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

Subgroups of 25 or more students within each school, district, and the state must meet AYP. The subgroups include Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Economically Disadvantaged (FRL), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

	SIDNEY GUTIERREZ MID		Statewide	
	Number	%	Number	%
Female	31	51.7	160,866	47.0
Male	29	48.3	169,312	49.5
Caucasian	33	55.0	95,406	27.9
African-American	0	0.0	8,670	2.5
Hispanic	25	41.7	185,147	54.1
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	3.3	4,506	1.3
American Indian	0	0.0	36,449	10.7
English Language Learners	0	0.0	55,866	16.3
Students with Disabilities	4	6.7	45,346	13.3
Free/Reduced Lunch Program	0	0.0	203,946	59.6
Migrant	0	0.0	575	0.2

Source: STARS 40th day submission to Public Education Department.

Adequate Yearly Progress

School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status	School	AYP Rating	Improvement Status
SIDNEY GUTIERREZ MID	Met	Progressing			

AYP by Subgroup

AYP is based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. Three academic indicators are utilized: 1) mathematics, 2) reading, and 3) either attendance (elementary and middle schools), or graduation (high schools). Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students (indicated by <>).

Reporting Group	Academic Indicator	AYP Goal %	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Am Indian %	FRL %	ELL %	SWD %
SIDNEY GUTIERREZ MID	Reading Proficiency	57	89.8	69.7	<>	41.7	<>	<>	42.3	<>	<>
SIDNEY GUTIERREZ MID	Math Proficiency	41	72.9	66.7	<>	20.8	<>	<>	23.1	<>	<>
SIDNEY GUTIERREZ MID	Attendance Rate	92	99.2	99.2	<>	99.2	99.2	<>	99.1	<>	99.6

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard>.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Results presented here are from 2009 (reading and mathematics), and from 2005 (science).

4th Grade	Reading (2009)				Math (2009)				Science (2005)			
	% Advanced	% Proficient	% Basic*	% Below Basic	% Advanced	% Proficient	% Basic*	% Below Basic	% Advanced	% Proficient	% Basic*	% Below Basic
White	9.0	26.0	36.0	30.0	7.0	40.0	42.0	12.0	3.0	34.0	44.0	19.0
Black	1.0	13.0	36.0	50.0	2.0	18.0	48.0	33.0	1.0	8.0	39.0	53.0
Hispanic	1.0	12.0	32.0	55.0	1.0	16.0	48.0	34.0	1.0	9.0	34.0	56.0
Asian	12.0	27.0	32.0	29.0	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	1.0	9.0	24.0	66.0	#	13.0	43.0	43.0	#	5.0	31.0	64.0
SWD	1.0	4.0	12.0	83.0	#	9.0	41.0	50.0	#	4.0	26.0	69.0
ELL	#	3.0	13.0	84.0	#	5.0	36.0	60.0	#	3.0	23.0	74.0
FRLP	1.0	11.0	31.0	57.0	1.0	16.0	46.0	36.0	#	10.0	35.0	55.0
New Mexico	4.0	16.0	32.0	48.0	3.0	23.0	46.0	28.0	1.0	16.0	37.0	45.0
Nation	7.0	24.0	34.0	34.0	6.0	33.0	43.0	19.0	2.0	25.0	39.0	34.0
8th Grade	% Advanced	% Proficient	% Basic*	% Below Basic	% Advanced	% Proficient	% Basic*	% Below Basic	% Advanced	% Proficient	% Basic*	% Below Basic
White	4.0	34.0	46.0	16.0	7.0	32.0	41.0	19.0	3.0	33.0	34.0	30.0
Black	1.0	15.0	40.0	44.0	2.0	11.0	42.0	45.0	3.0	12.0	23.0	63.0
Hispanic	#	14.0	44.0	42.0	1.0	10.0	39.0	50.0	#	9.0	26.0	65.0
Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Am Indian	1.0	11.0	38.0	50.0	1.0	9.0	36.0	54.0	#	7.0	19.0	73.0
SWD	#	4.0	21.0	75.0	#	5.0	17.0	77.0	#	4.0	13.0	83.0
ELL	#	1.0	13.0	87.0	#	2.0	18.0	80.0	#	2.0	11.0	87.0
FRLP	1.0	14.0	43.0	43.0	1.0	10.0	38.0	50.0	#	10.0	24.0	66.0
New Mexico	1.0	20.0	44.0	34.0	3.0	17.0	39.0	41.0	1.0	17.0	28.0	54.0
Nation	2.0	28.0	43.0	26.0	7.0	25.0	39.0	29.0	3.0	24.0	30.0	43.0

* Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

*** Sample size was insufficient to permit a reliable estimate

Rounds to zero

NAEP Participation Rates

	Reading (%)	Math (%)	Science (%)
4th Grade ELL	73.0	95.6	94.1
4th Grade SWD**	64.3	84.6	85.9
8th Grade ELL	81.9	94.2	90.1
8th Grade SWD**	64.3	77.9	85.7

** NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities.
Participation in NAEP is not mandatory.

Assessment Summary by Grade

Students are assessed in reading, mathematics and science in grades 3-8 and 11 by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for students with significant disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. The assessment results are for all students enrolled and present during testing in March 2009. District summaries do not include students from charter schools, which are reported separately. Results for groups with fewer than 10 students are not reported to meet confidentiality requirements. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

3rd Grade		Reading						Mathematics						Science							
Reporting Group	% at Each Proficiency Level							% at Each Proficiency Level							% at Each Proficiency Level						
	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
NM 2008-09	99	25,222	9	51	23	14	99	25,229	8	45	41	3	99	25,214	11	70	16	0			
NM 2007-08	99	24,878	10	47	26	14	99	24,878	6	37	48	7	99	24,868	11	68	18	1			

Levels: 1 = Advanced, 2 = Proficient, 3 = Nearing Proficiency, 4 = Beginning Step
 <> Fewer than 10 students

4th Grade		Reading						Mathematics						Science							
Reporting Group	% at Each Proficiency Level							% at Each Proficiency Level							% at Each Proficiency Level						
	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
NM 2008-09	99	25,138	10	41	32	14	99	25,140	8	33	50	7	99	25,121	1	50	44	3			
NM 2007-08	99	24,364	8	42	34	14	99	24,367	7	31	52	8	99	24,357	2	48	44	3			

Levels: 1 = Advanced, 2 = Proficient, 3 = Nearing Proficiency, 4 = Beginning Step
 <> Fewer than 10 students

5th Grade		Reading						Mathematics						Science							
Reporting Group	% at Each Proficiency Level							% at Each Proficiency Level							% at Each Proficiency Level						
	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
NM 2008-09	99	24,494	13	44	33	8	99	24,495	9	33	46	9	99	24,478	6	46	42	5			
NM 2007-08	99	24,274	10	45	35	8	99	24,274	8	31	47	11	99	24,270	3	43	48	4			

Levels: 1 = Advanced, 2 = Proficient, 3 = Nearing Proficiency, 4 = Beginning Step
 <> Fewer than 10 students

6th Grade		Reading					Mathematics					Science						
Reporting Group	% at Each Proficiency Level						% at Each Proficiency Level						% at Each Proficiency Level					
	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Female	<>	8	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	8	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	8	<>	<>	<>	<>
Male	100	12	8	66	25	0	100	12	33	50	8	8	100	12	33	41	25	0
Caucasian	100	13	23	61	15	0	100	13	46	38	15	0	100	13	30	46	23	0
Black	<>						<>						<>					
Hispanic	<>	6	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	6	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	6	<>	<>	<>	<>
Asian	<>	1	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	1	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	1	<>	<>	<>	<>
Am Ind	<>						<>						<>					
ELL	<>						<>						<>					
FRL	<>	7	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	7	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	7	<>	<>	<>	<>
SWD	<>	1	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	1	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	1	<>	<>	<>	<>
Migrant	<>						<>						<>					
All 2008-09	100	20	15	65	20	0	100	20	35	40	20	5	100	20	20	55	25	0
All 2007-08	100	20	20	55	25	0	100	20	10	45	45	0	100	20	15	60	20	5
NM 2008-09	99	24,097	5	38	44	10	99	24,101	6	26	54	10	99	24,092	2	31	53	11
NM 2007-08	99	23,666	5	37	45	10	99	23,666	4	23	58	13	99	23,653	2	28	56	12

Levels: 1 = Advanced, 2 = Proficient, 3 = Nearing Proficiency, 4 = Beginning Step
 <> Fewer than 10 students

7th Grade		Reading					Mathematics					Science						
Reporting Group	% at Each Proficiency Level						% at Each Proficiency Level						% at Each Proficiency Level					
	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Female	100	10	20	70	10	0	100	10	30	30	40	0	100	10	10	60	30	0
Male	100	10	50	50	0	0	100	10	50	10	40	0	100	10	0	80	20	0
Caucasian	<>	8	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	8	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	8	<>	<>	<>	<>
Black	<>						<>						<>					
Hispanic	100	11	45	45	9	0	100	11	45	0	54	0	100	11	0	72	27	0
Asian	<>	1	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	1	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	1	<>	<>	<>	<>
Am Ind	<>						<>						<>					
ELL	<>						<>						<>					
FRL	100	12	25	66	8	0	100	12	25	25	50	0	100	12	0	66	33	0
SWD	<>	3	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	3	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	3	<>	<>	<>	<>
Migrant	<>						<>						<>					
All 2008-09	100	20	35	60	5	0	100	20	40	20	40	0	100	20	5	70	25	0
All 2007-08	100	23	34	56	8	0	100	23	34	34	30	0	100	23	4	78	17	0
NM 2008-09	99	23,696	9	43	37	8	99	23,697	8	23	52	15	99	23,687	2	33	50	12
NM 2007-08	99	23,964	7	42	36	12	99	23,964	5	23	51	18	99	23,954	1	31	51	14

Levels: 1 = Advanced, 2 = Proficient, 3 = Nearing Proficiency, 4 = Beginning Step
 <> Fewer than 10 students

8th Grade		Reading					Mathematics					Science						
Reporting Group	% at Each Proficiency Level						% at Each Proficiency Level						% at Each Proficiency Level					
	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Female	100	11	36	54	9	0	100	11	45	45	9	0	100	11	0	54	45	0
Male	<>	8	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	8	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	8	<>	<>	<>	<>
Caucasian	100	12	33	66	0	0	100	12	66	25	8	0	100	12	0	91	8	0
Black	<>						<>						<>					
Hispanic	<>	7	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	7	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	7	<>	<>	<>	<>
Asian	<>						<>						<>					
Am Ind	<>						<>						<>					
ELL	<>						<>						<>					
FRL	<>	7	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	7	<>	<>	<>	<>	<>	7	<>	<>	<>	<>
SWD	<>						<>						<>					
Migrant	<>						<>						<>					
All 2008-09	100	19	26	68	5	0	100	19	52	31	15	0	100	19	0	73	26	0
All 2007-08	100	17	17	70	11	0	100	17	41	41	17	0	100	17	0	76	17	5
NM 2008-09	99	23,969	8	53	28	8	99	23,965	7	35	49	7	99	23,959	0	26	57	14
NM 2007-08	99	24,576	5	58	28	6	99	24,579	6	29	49	13	98	24,564	0	24	58	14

Levels: 1 = Advanced, 2 = Proficient, 3 = Nearing Proficiency, 4 = Beginning Step
 <> Fewer than 10 students

11th Grade		Reading					Mathematics					Science						
Reporting Group	% at Each Proficiency Level						% at Each Proficiency Level						% at Each Proficiency Level					
	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	% Participating	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
NM 2008-09	98	20,191	5	45	36	10	98	20,181	8	25	37	26	97	20,178	1	31	56	7
NM 2007-08	97	20,363	6	43	36	11	97	20,365	8	24	39	24	96	20,104	1	32	55	6

Levels: 1 = Advanced, 2 = Proficient, 3 = Nearing Proficiency, 4 = Beginning Step
 <> Fewer than 10 students

Budgeted Expenditures		
Includes state general fund operational monies only for 2008-2009		
	Expenditure	%
Direct Instruction	385,733	71
Instructional Support Services	158,110	29
Students	2,860	1
Instruction	0	0
General Administration	3,700	1
School Administration	119,910	22
Central Services	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	31,640	6
Student Transportation	0	0
Other	0	0
Non-Instructional Support	0	0
Food Services	0	0
Community Services	0	0
Capital Outlay	0	0
Total Expenditures	543,843	

Source: Projected expenditures reported to NMPED School Budget Office.

Teacher Quality

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials: Statewide: 0.00% District: 0.00%

	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teacher	
	Statewide	District
High Poverty Schools	1.57%	NA
Low Poverty Schools	1.41%	NA

	Number of Teachers	Highest Degree Bachelors (%)	Highest Degree Master's or Higher (%)	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (%)
Statewide	22020	58.1	41.3	1.7
SIDNEY GUTIERREZ MID	7	42.9	57.1	40.7

Teacher totals may differ because of district assignments. NDA = No data available

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

The Quality of Education Survey is provided annually to all parents statewide. These 10 questions are required by state regulation:

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Number Respondents	Question #	Strongly Agree (%)	Agree (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly Disagree (%)	Do Not Know (%)	No Opinion (%)
SIDNEY GUTIERREZ MID	***	1						

(blank) = No data available